

Walter P. Drabinski

From: Catherine Vogel [cvogel@keyssao.org]
Sent: Friday, September 06, 2013 3:56 PM
To: w.drabinski@vantageenergyconsulting.com; qidoc@aol.com
Cc: Chris Weber
Subject: Re: Your letter of September 6, 2013

Dear Mr. Drabinski and Dr. Gormley;

I have referred your complaint to our Chief Investigator, Chris Weber. He will be contacting FCAA regarding your complaint.

I am unable to meet with you until after September 30. Sorry for any inconvenience.

Sincerely,

Catherine Vogel
State Attorney
Sixteenth Judicial Circuit
530 Whitehead St. Suite 201
Key West, FL 33040
305-292-3405

Florida has a very broad public records law. Virtually all electronic mail sent or received by the Office of the State Attorney is available to the public upon request.

Status of data requests submitted by Walt Drabinski and Dr. Jean Gormley

Request Description	Response to date
Items 1-16 were submitted by Walter Drabinski on May 21, 2013. Of the 16 requests submitted, we had no response to date for two requests and a number of others were only partially responded to.	
1. Copy of document from which Tables 4.3 and 4.9 that were provide by Mr. Hobbs. These tables address analysis of LPS versus Gravity for Cudjoe Key. We would like analysis for all areas of CRWS.	This is Matthews 2/2009 Report. Received all but last two Appendices.
2. Matthews Consulting document from which Section 6.9 Wastewater Collection System Decision Analysis was excerpted. (This was provided by Mr. Hobbs after recent meeting.)	Received
3. Analysis associated with all sections of CRWS that were selected for LPS.	Only the Matthews report of 2/2009
4. All analysis and support by FKAA Staff or consultants that support the Wastewater Collection System Decision Analysis	Only the Matthews report of 2/2009
5. Copy of any analysis conducted in specifying Enviro-one as the required manufacturer (or equivalent) of the grinder pump system.	Nothing
6. Please indicate whether any other manufacturer provide bids on the grinder system.	Received
7. Any analysis on life expectations of Grinder system, including data from manufacturers, industry sources or government agencies.	Nothing
8. Copies of all subsequent bids or proposals by contractors to install gravity rather than LPS for individual subdivision or streets. (Similar to that provided re. Cudjoe Gardens.)	Received for Lower Sugarloaf and Cudjoe Shores
9. Copies of all sections and revisions to the Interlocal Agreement with Monroe County associated with CRWS.	Received
10. Copy of any document or group of documents that provides a summary of all projected, contracted or estimated costs to	Received payout schedule for major

complete the CRWS. This should include all major contracts, engineering, monitoring, oversight, management, financing that are associated with CRWS.	contracts only.
11. Copies of all bid specs for any portion of the project that was submitted for bid.	Received
12. Copies of contracts for all companies that were awarded bids.	Received
13. Copies of all sole source contracts for suppliers associated with the CRWS.	FKAA claimed there were no sole source contracts
14. List of localities that use the same Enviro-one units in similar conditions. Dave Matthews referenced these	Received
15. Current plans for generator trucks. Number, estimate of houses it can serve per day, cost, etc.	Received.
16. Plans for maintenance department to service grinders. Number of employees, etc.	Received
The following 24 requests were submitted by Dr. Jean Gormley on July 15, 2013. We have had no responses to any of these requests. However, after a visit to FKAA on August 9, 2013 we found or were provided with responses to three of the requests.	
1. All analysis prepared by FKAA, Matthews, WTR, Enviro-one, Giannetti, Layne, or other consultants, that reviewed, countered, evaluated, or recomputed documents provided by Walter P. Drabinski in his ongoing analysis of FKAA's plans for the CRWS.	Nothing
2. Mrs. Matthews in her presentation on June 19, 2013 before the MCBOCC stated that there were no mistakes in the Matthews February 2009 report. Please provide any support she or her firm have developed to support this position counter the analysis by Mr. Drabinski.	Nothing
3. Mrs. Matthews in her presentation on June 19, 2013 before the MCBOCC stated that her firm prepared a new analysis using current inflation, interest and electric rates. Please provide this analysis and all supporting documents.	Nothing
4. Mr. Zuelch and other FKAA representatives have indicated that the cost of all gravity was \$30 million. In the June 12, 2013 meeting with Walt Drabinski, and other representatives, Mrs. Jan	Received

<p>Edelstein requested a copy of this analysis and after conferring with the FKAA General Counsel, it was agreed that this would be provided. To date it has not. Please provide it now, with all work papers and spreadsheets supporting this analysis. If there are excel files, we would request that they be provided in that format.</p>	
<p>5. In late January 2013, FKAA presented a one page bid analysis sheet from Giannetti Construction that developed a \$763,000 cost for providing all gravity in Cudjoe Gardens. Please provide any engineering review performed by FKAA or its consultants that supported or confirmed that document.</p>	Nothing
<p>6. Provide copies of all presentations to public regarding CRWS plans, including public hearings, private meetings with individuals and groups and meetings with representatives from Monroe county government from 1/1/13 through 7/8/13.</p>	Nothing from FKAA, but requested in person and received.
<p>7. Copies of all public presentations made to citizens, homeowners, HOAs and other public groups between 1/1/2011 and 7/7/2013.</p>	Nothing from FKAA, but requested in person and received.
<p>8. Copies of all attendance lists including e-mail addresses and phone numbers if provided by the attendee in the meetings identified in 4 and 5 above. (These were public meetings and those providing this information to FKAA have no expectations of privacy.)</p>	Nothing from FKAA, but requested in person and received.
<p>9. List of all names and addresses to which letters requesting easements for LPS have been sent.</p>	Nothing
<p>10. The support Mrs. Matthews has for stating that a 20 year LifeCycle period is the only appropriate period for analysis.</p>	Nothing
<p>11. Provide any documentation as to how FKAA will consider LifeCycle costs for both the LPS and gravity systems after 20 years.</p>	Nothing
<p>12. Please indicate what data or direction was given to Matthews in preparing the original LifeCycle analysis as well as the current one. This should include: 20 year period, interest rates, inflation rate, cost per FTE with vehicle, etc., cost for repair and replacement of LPS components, and other inputs. Provide</p>	Nothing

written info as well as meeting notes, e-mails, etc.	
13. Provide specific details, including meeting notes, memos, e-mails, and data, that describe and support the role WRT and Enviro-one had in preparing the LifeCycle analysis and decision tree, including attendance at meetings, e-mails, manufacturer's literature, etc.	Nothing
14. Please provide all support for the Decision Tree as it was prepared in the February 2009 Matthews Letter and any subsequent revisions. As a minimum, please describe how FKAA believes it should be interpreted and applied. Indicate where it states that it should be applied to individual streets.	Nothing
15. Provide the document in which the decision tree was first used and/or applied.	Nothing
16. Provide the database, spreadsheet or other document or model to which the decision tree or other criteria were applied to determine if individual streets or areas should be LPS or gravity. This should include the complete list of streets in the CRWS area, along with the scores or denomination for each street reviewed. If other exceptions or criteria were applied, these should be provided as well. This request should provide a comprehensive explanation of every street or EDU in which LPS was proposed rather than gravity.	Nothing
17. Provide any current analysis by Layne on its design of the Outer Island areas that address the use of LPS or other non-gravity methods for collection.	Nothing
18. Please provide maps that show the streets or areas where LPS and other collection methods are proposed for the Outer Island Region	Nothing
19. Based on the attached sheet titled "Summary of Value Engineering Improvements for Further Consideration", provide all support for items numbers: 3. All current cost estimates associated with horizontal boring across Niles channel.	Nothing

<p>9. All current cost estimates associated with full street paving.</p> <p>11. Original and updated hydraulic studies associated with CRWS.</p> <p>18.1 and 18.2 All current cost estimates associated with remote monitoring and generator receptacle.</p> <p>(add.) Current state of analysis on downsize of generators. Indicate which ones in inner region will be changed.</p>	
<p>20. We have received the February 2009 Matthews report titled "Analysis of Alternate Wastewater Collection Systems (Task 3.2)" Please provide all documents, reports or analysis related to other tasks eg. Tasks 3.1, 3.3, etc.</p>	Nothing
<p>21. Please provide any details in correspondence, presentations, meeting notes, etc. associated with informing Monroe County personnel or Commissioners regarding decision to use hybrid system with LPS in the January 2011 timeframe, as referred to in Mr. Walker June 6, 2013 e-mail to Commissioner Kolhage which is attached.</p>	Nothing
<p>22. Provide copies of maps first provided to county that delineate LPS versus gravity in Inner Islands of CRWS.</p>	Nothing
<p>23. In a June 6, 2013 from Tom Walker to Commissioner Danny Kolhage, Mr. Walker indicated that the revised hybrid system with streets and numbers of grinders was provided to the County. Please provide the name(s) of who provided it, the format, including reports or presentation and the name(s) of who from the County received the update.</p>	Nothing
<p>24. In an April 23, 2013 e-mail from Mr. Don Hobbs, he stated that "The driving force behind Monroe County's capital decision-making has tended to be driven by the initial capital cost. As the operator and maintenance agent for the county's infrastructure, we are very sensitive to that." Please provide the source of this request, including the name of the County representative and any documents that support this statement.</p>	Nothing